

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/862,952	HELFENSTEIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Daniel McClure (Reg# 38,962).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-16

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues with Claims 10 and 16. Claim 10 mentions the use of "active circuitry" while Claim 16 mentions using both active and passive circuit components. Correcting the dependency of Claims 20-23.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)